

Permit No MITA (P) 073/09/2001 ISSN 0037 - 5675

JOURNAL OF THE SINGAPORE MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

<u>Editor</u> A/Prof C Rajasoorya

<u>Deputy Editor</u> Prof Wilfred C G Peh A/Prof Sonny Wang Yee Tang

Corresponding Editors Prof Azrul Azwar (Indonesia) Prof Myo Myint (Myanmar) Prof Neil Pride (UK) Prof Tan Chong Tin (Malaysia) Prof Teh Bin Tean (USA) Prof Victor Yu (Australia)

Editorial Board Dr Chin Jing Jih Dr Chow Wan Cheng A/Prof Ho Nai Kiong Prof Kua Ee Heok Dr Kenneth Lyen Dr Denis Nyam A/Prof Paul Ananth Tambyah A/Prof Luke Tan Kim Siang Dr Kelvin Tan Kok Hian Dr Jackie Tan Yu Ling

<u>Ex-Officio</u> Prof Low Cheng Hock Dr Yue Wai Mun

<u>Editorial Assistants</u> Chua Gek Eng Dr Tham Wai Fong, Eileen

Editorial Address A/Prof C Rajasoorya, Editor Singapore Medical Journal Singapore Medical Association 2 College Road Singapore 169850



<u>Cover Picture:</u> Sun Si Miao (581-682 A.D.): China's Pre-eminent Physician (Refer to page 224-225)

The Case for Breast Cancer Screening in Singapore

S B Wee

Results obtained from numerous trials addressing breast cancer screening support the role of population-based screening in the reduction of mortality from breast cancer. Although recent controversy was raised about the actual impact⁽¹⁾, meta-analysis of eight widely-accepted randomised trials comprising about 430,000 screened women aged 50 years or older showed an overall mortality reduction of 25% from breast cancer when compared with the non-screened population⁽²⁾. Can we make a case for breast cancer screening in Singapore?

There are certainly sufficient aspects of this disease that would support such an effort here.

Firstly, it is the most common female cancer here, with overall cancer deaths being second only to that related to diseases of the circulatory system. Breast cancer accounts for one out of every four or five female cancers $(22.8\%)^{(3)}$, and this incidence has more than doubled over the last twenty-five years. This incidence is still increasing, and is expected to slowly approach the lifetime breast cancer risk that women in Western countries currently face.

Secondly, treatment at an early stage is effective, and does lead to a better survival outcome from the disease. Survival from breast cancer is related to cancer size at diagnosis. As an illustration, if cancer size alone is compared, survival at five years following treatment declines progressively from 98% for a node-negative cancer under 1 cm in size to 82% for a similar one above 5 cm, with a greater difference in magnitude when other prognostic factors are incorporated⁽⁴⁾. Smaller cancers are detected in a screened population. For instance, 70% of the cancers detected in Breast Screen NSW were under 15 mm in size, with 37% being 10 mm or less in dimension⁽⁵⁾. This is in stark contrast to that encountered locally, where the average cancer size at diagnosis is 2.5 cm⁽⁶⁾. Also, early detection tends to increase the proportion of node-negative cancers detected, resulting in more cancers detected and treated at an earlier stage.

Thirdly, the disease has a pre-invasive phase in its natural history during which timely intervention can alter its course. This phase is represented by ductal carcinoma-in-situ (DCIS), which may be commonly seen as mammary micro-calcifications on mammography, with or without an associated mass. In the Singapore Breast Screening Project, DCIS formed 26% of the diagnosed cancers in screened women⁽⁷⁾, compared to the non-screened proportion of between 10-15%. Treatment of cancer at this stage offers the only chance of complete cure for the patient, as intervention is carried out at a stage before the cancer acquires the ability for potential metastatic spread.

Mount Elizabeth Medical Centre Singapore 228510

S B Wee, MBBS, FAMS, MMed (Surg), FRCS (Edin) Consultant Surgeon

Correspondence to: Dr Wee Siew Bock Tel: (65) 6732 7755 Fax: (65) 6732 0829 Email: weesbock@ singnet.com.sg Finally, there is a reasonably effective means of detection of early disease by mammography, which is a test that can be easily and reliably performed, and is non-invasive in nature. Mammography of good quality is widely available in Singapore. The issue of lowered sensitivity of mammography in Asian women, whose breasts are more dense, did not seem to be a problem noted in the Singapore Breast Screening Project, where the cancer detection rate using mammography was comparable to that seen in screened Western populations.

It should be pointed out that the weight of evidence supports screening women between the ages of 50 to 69 years. The value of such a population-based programme among younger women is still hotly debated. Available data on this subset of women is less convincing on the magnitude of any benefit, with the mortality reduction of 18% seen after a follow-up period of 12 years⁽²⁾. Possible reasons that can account for the difficulty in proving a benefit include the lower cancer incidence among vounger women, a greater awareness of cancer among the "control" population (which would hamper the demonstration of a clear benefit when compared with screened individuals), and lower sensitivity of mammography in young breasts⁽⁸⁾. On balance, it is likely that there is a benefit, but it may be difficult to prove, especially when the cost-benefit considerations are factored in. Data on the value of large-scale screening for women beyond 70 years of age is even more lacking, although it is likely that most women who had been screened earlier in their lives will continue to be recalled in most programmes, unless they opt out.

The optimal screening interval is another unsettled issue. Most population-based programmes adopt a two- to three-yearly screening interval. This is set to allow the programme to remain cost-effective with an acceptably low rate of interval cancers.

In Singapore, a nation-wide government-subsidised mammographybased breast screening programme was initiated in January 2002. Called BreastScreen Singapore, it is targeted at women aged 50 to 69 years of age, with the objective of screening up to 70% of this subset of women by 2008. Women who are eligible are invited by letter to present for the first screen, and recalled at two-yearly intervals for mammography. All women with abnormal mammograms, and women who report breast symptoms regardless of mammogram outcome will be recalled for assessment. This programme is centrally administered by the Health Promotion Board, which will maintain the Central Registry responsible for tracking and recall. The long-term objective is to reduce breast cancer mortality by 10% by 2010.

In the context of screening, we must remember that mammographic screening is merely one pillar supporting a structured effort for the early detection of breast cancer, the other pillars being breast self-examination (BSE) and clinical breast examination (CBE). It is worth emphasing that in the control of a disease where no effective preventive measures are available, early detection and treatment remain our only viable strategy. This cancer remains a clinical entity in our setting, as the average size of cancer at diagnosis is 2.5 cm in diameter. Although there are no convincing trials demonstrating conclusively the effectiveness of BSE, encouraging regular self-examination for breast lumps among our womenfolk, with early medical consultation when changes occur, is a simple enough strategy to get cancers to be present early for treatment. Such a simple practice is easy to teach, and not equipment- or technology-

In the context of screening, we must remember that mammographic screening is merely one pillar supporting a structured effort for the early detection of breast cancer, the other billars being breast self-examination (BSE) and clinical breast examination (CBE).

Publisher

Singapore Medical Journal Level 2, Alumni Medical Centre 2 College Road Singapore 169850 Tel: 6223 1264 Fax: 6224 7827 URL http://www.sma.org.sg

Design and Advertising Lancer Communications Pte Ltd 69 Spottiswoode Park Road Singapore 088659 Tel: 6324 4337 Fax: 6324 4661 Email: studio@lancer.com.sg

For advertising placement, call

• Charlie Teo at 6324 4337

Printed by Entraco Printing Pte Ltd

The Singapore Medical Journal is published monthly by the Singapore Medical Association. All articles published, including editorials, letters and book reviews represent the opinion of the authors and do not reflect the official policy of the SMA or Institution with which the authors are affiliated unless this is clearly specified. The Publisher cannot accept responsibility for the correctness or accuracy of the advertisers' text and/or claim or any opinion expressed. The appearance of advertisements in the Journal does not necessarily constitute an approval or endorsement by the SMA of the product or service advertised. The contents of this publication are not to be quoted in the press without permission of the Editor.

dependent. The same can be said for CBE, where the opportunity of early detection of breast lesions exists if primary health care physicians incorporate CBE into their routine check-up for patients under their care. With such relatively simple measures, it is conceivable that the size of breast cancers will decrease with time. Such measures have already been put in place in recent efforts targeted at enhancing breast cancer awareness.

It will take some time for our population to move towards a higher level of cancer awareness. With time, breast cancers will be detected at smaller dimensions, and eventually become impalpable or nonclinical entities. Only then will we see screening by mammography become the dominant pillar in the early detection of breast cancer. Until such a time, any reduction in breast cancer mortality in our local population will depend on both a heightened awareness of breast cancer with adoption of practices like regular breast examinations, as well as a well-structured population-wide mammography-based breast cancer screening programme.

REFERENCES

- Gotzsche PC, Olsen O. Is screening for breast cancer with mammography justifiable? Lancet 2000; 355(9198):129-34.
- 2. Jatoi I. Breast Cancer Screening. Am J Surg. 1999; 177:518-24.
- 3. Chia KS, Seow A, Lee HP, Shanmugaratnam K. Cancer Incidence in Singapore 1993-1997.
- Hellman & Harris. Diseases of the Breast, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2000; 415.
- Rickard M, Donnellan M. Diagnosis of small sized invasive breast cancer by an Australian mammography screening service: surrogate end-points for mortality reduction. Aust N Z J Surg 1998; 86(6):415-8.
- 6. Singapore Breast Cancer Registry, Newsletter Nov 1998.
- Ng EH, Ng FC, Tan PH, Low SC, Chiang G, Tan KP, et al. Results of intermediate measures from a population-based, randomised trial of mammographic screening prevalence and detection of breast carcinoma among Asian women, the Singapore Breast Screening Project. Cancer 1998; 82:1521-8.
- Elwood JM, Cox B, Richardson AK. The effectiveness of breast cancer screening by mammography in younger women. Online J Curr Clin Trials; 25 Feb 1993 (Doc No. 32).

Thank You

The SMJ wishes to thank the readers for your response to the readership survey and would look into ways to incorporate your most valuable feedback.