Surgery for the palliation of intestinal obstruction in advanced abdominal malignancy

Wong T H, Tan Y M

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Intestinal obstruction commonly occurs in advanced abdominal and pelvic malignancy. Management of these patients is difficult, as it is uncertain which patients benefit from palliative surgery and which benefit from medical management.

<u>Methods</u>: Clinical records for patients who underwent surgery for palliation of bowel obstruction were reviewed retrospectively. All had metastatic malignant disease and were seen by the general surgical department for intestinal obstruction. The following factors were examined: preoperative albumin, APACHE II score, age, site of metastases, presence of ascites, operative findings and type of operative procedure performed, length of postoperative stay and mortality.

Results: 27 palliative operations for intestinal obstruction for metastatic malignancy were performed during this period. This included two patients who were re-operated on for recurrence of intestinal obstruction after recovering from the first operation. All patients had radiological evidence of intestinal obstruction preoperatively. All patients who survived were discharged from hospital without requiring parenteral nutrition or hydration, and were able to tolerate oral medication and feeds. In this small series, site of metastases, presence of ascites, APACHE II score and gender were not predictive of mortality. An albumin level of 21 g/L or less was predictive of mortality. Almost 50 percent of these patients would require a stoma. Our series had a 30-day mortality rate of 20 percent.

<u>Conclusion</u>: Surgery does have a role in palliation of symptoms of intestinal obstruction in carefullyselected patients with advanced abdominal and pelvic malignancy. Patients should be counselled on the likelihood of a stoma and the 30-day mortality risk.

Keywords: abdominal malignancy, intestinal obstruction, surgical palliation

Singapore Med J 2009; 50(12): 1139-1144

INTRODUCTION

Intestinal obstruction is a common problem in patients with advanced malignancy, with an estimated 3%-15% of all terminally-ill cancer patients suffering from intestinal obstruction.^(1,2) In advanced abdominal and pelvic malignancy, 5%-51% of patients with ovarian malignancies (3-8) and 10%-28% of patients with gastrointestinal cancer (4,5) will suffer from intestinal obstruction. In such patients, surgery is for palliation of obstructive symptoms, not for oncological resection. Common presenting symptoms are abdominal pain, abdominal distension, nausea, vomiting and failure to pass flatus.^(1,2,9,10) In 1985, Baines et al demonstrated an improvement in abdominal pain, colic, nausea and vomiting by using analgesia, anti-spasmodics and antiemetics,⁽¹⁾ and since then, the range of pharmacological interventions available to palliate intestinal obstruction has increased.^(2,5,10,11) More recently, endoscopic stenting has also been shown to be an option in selected patients.^(12,13) The evolution of chemotherapeutic agents in the treatment of some tumours has also increased the range of options for patients.(14)

Nevertheless, for patients whose symptoms do not respond to pharmacological intervention and who are not candidates for endocopic stenting, management of these patients presents the following difficulties: first, intestinal obstruction may be due to more than one physiopathological process, viz. intraluminal obstruction from polypoid lesions occluding the bowel lumen; intramural obstruction from infiltration of tumour within the muscular coat of the bowel wall; extramural obstruction from mesenteric, omental masses and malignant adhesions causing extrinsic compression of the bowel; motility disorders due to tumour infiltration of the mesentery, bowel muscle, coeliac or enteric plexuses;

Department of General Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Outram Road, Singapore 169608

Wong TH, MPH, MMed, FRCSE Registrar

Department of Surgical Oncology, National Cancer Centre Singapore, 11 Hospital Drive, Singapore 169610

Tan YM, BSc, MBBS, FRCSE Consultant

Correspondence to: Dr Wong Ting Hway Tel: (65) 6326 5564 Fax: (65) 6220 9323 Email: wong.th@ iname.com chemotherapy, opioids, paraneoplastic neuropathy, interluekin-1 type factors and anticholinergics may also contribute; and constipation and faecal impaction, exacerbated by opioid use, declining motor activity and low oral intake.^(4,9,10,15)

Second, in view of the progressive nature of disease, it is uncertain which patients would benefit from palliative surgery and which would have an outcome no different from medical management, since many have both diffuse peritoneal metastatic disease and/or adhesions from previous surgery. It is difficult to predict whether the quality and/or quantity of life will be improved by surgery in this group of patients, who already have a poor prognosis at the time of presentation. A Cochrane review in 2006 found that no firm conclusions affecting clinical practice could be drawn from the existing data.⁽⁴⁾ Third, surgical morbidity and mortality in this group of patients are high,^(4,16,17) given that these patients already have poor performance status (mobility and function). Fourth, symptoms of bowel obstruction may occur acutely or intermittently,(1,9,10) making it even more difficult to distinguish which patients might benefit from surgery and which would not.

While several case series have shown that some patients will benefit from palliative surgery, it is not clear what criteria should be used for the selection of patients for palliative surgery.^(4,11,18-21) We examined retrospectively a series of patients who underwent surgery for palliation of intestinal obstruction, drawn from our weekly audit records at our department over a two-year period from September 2004 to August 2006. The objectives of this study were twofold: to review patient and disease factors that predict survival after palliative surgery for bowel obstruction in advanced intra-abdominal malignancy in our local patient population, and compare it with other published data; and to understand the morbidity and mortality risk in our local patient population, so as to better counsel patients and their families to make an informed decision prior to surgery.

METHODS

Clinical records for patients admitted over a two-year period to our department for surgical palliation of bowel obstruction in advanced abdominal malignancy were reviewed retrospectively. These patient details were retrieved from morbidity and mortality presentations in the department weekly audit meetings. Patients undergoing bypass procedures for gastric outlet obstruction alone were excluded from this analysis. Patients presenting with emergency obstruction as the first presentation of cancer were also excluded.

Table I.	Patient	demogra	phics.
----------	---------	---------	--------

Demographic	No.*
Age (years) Mean Median (range)	64.5 69 (28–85)
Gender Male Female	2 3
Site of primary malignancy Stomach Colon Ovary Lung Gallbladder Small bowel Breast Bladder Thigh sarcoma	9 7 2 1 1 1 1
APACHE II score Mean Median (range)	5.6 6 (2–11)
Preoperative albumin (g/L) Mean Median (range)	30.8 32 (16–43)
Operative findings of obstruction from Tumour deposits Adhesions only	25 2
Nature of palliative operation Resection Bypass Adhesiolysis only Stoma only Stoma with resection/bypass Perioperative mortality	6 14 2 5 5 4

* unless otherwise stated

Inclusion criteria for intestinal obstruction were based on the preoperative clinical diagnosis by the primary team managing the patient, and the radiologists' preoperative reporting of the abdominal radiographs showing multiple air-fluid levels and/or dilatation of the bowel. Criteria for surgical palliation were a histologically-proven diagnosis of malignancy, and a histologically- or radiologically-proven metastases at the time of surgery. The following factors were examined: preoperative albumin, APACHE II score, age, site of metastases, presence of ascites, preoperative chemotherapy, preoperative radiotherapy, operative findings, type of operative procedure performed (bypass, resection, adhesiolysis or stoma only), length of postoperative stay and mortality.

The data was analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 10.1 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Independent *t*-test was used to analyse the effect of continuous variables (age, APACHE II score, length of stay in hospital, length of stay in hospital postoperation) on the 30-day mortality; Fisher's exact test was used to analyse categorical variables (gender,

Age (years) Median (range) NS NS Median (range) 69 (28-85) 63.6 69.0 NS Gender NS NS NS NS Female 12 11 1 NS Stomach 9 8 1 NS Stomach 9 8 1 NS Stomach 9 8 1 NS Okary 3 3 0 Lung 0 Small bowel 1 1 0 Thigh sarcoma 1 1 Bladder 1 1 0 Thigh sarcoma 1 1 0 Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 0 Presence of liver metastases 11 9 2 NS NS Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of liver metastases 15 13 3 NS APACHE II score NS NS NS NS Previous radiotherapy 16 15 <t< th=""><th>Characteristic</th><th>All (n = 27)</th><th>Survival (n = 23)</th><th>Mortality (n = 4)</th><th>p-value</th></t<>	Characteristic	All (n = 27)	Survival (n = 23)	Mortality (n = 4)	p-value	
Man64.563.669.0Median (range)69 (28-85)69 (28-85)67 (62-80)GenderNSNSMale12111Female12123Stee of primary malignancyNSNSStomach981Colon752Ovary330Lung220Galibladder110Stanalbowel110Braat2110Shafder110Thigh sarcoma110Primary malignancy colon752NSPresence of liver metastase10730.16Presence of liver metastase1192NSPresence of distant pertoneal metastase25194NSPresence of distant pertoneal metastase255.46.56.00Median (range)6.62-11)6.62-11)5.5 (5-10)NSPrevious radiotherapy440NSBenign vs. malignant cause of ostruction for7220.00Lurge bowel22220.00Stoma with resection/bypass2521440Median (range)1816221.5Median (range)1312220.00Stoma with resection/bypass53	Age (years)					
Median (range) 69 (28–85) 69 (28–85) 67 (62–80) Gender II I NS Male 12 II I Female 15 12 3 Ste of primary maignancy 9 8 I Colon 7 5 2 Ovary 3 3 0 Lung 2 0 Galifadder I 1 0 1 1 Breast 2 1 1 1 Bidder 1 1 0 1 Thigh sarcona 1 1 0 1 Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of sciets 16 13 3 NS APACHE II is core NS 13 3 NS Previous radiotherapy 6 (2–11) 6 (2–11) 5.5 (5–10) 10 Previous radiotherapy 18 15 3 NS Previous radiotherapy 18 16 2 2 0 <td>Mean</td> <td>64.5</td> <td>63.6</td> <td>69.0</td> <td></td>	Mean	64.5	63.6	69.0		
Gender NS Male 12 1 1 Fermle 15 12 3 Ste of primary malignancy NS NS Stomach 9 8 1 Stomach 7 S 2 Oxary 3 3 0 Lung 2 2 0 Gallbladder 1 1 0 Breast 2 1 1 Bladder 1 1 0 Thigh sarcoma 1 1 0 Primary malignancy colon 7 5 2 NS Presence of lung metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of lung metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of scites 16 13 3 NS Presence of lung metastase 15 3 NS Median (range) 5.6 5.4 6.5 S Median (range) 4 22 2 0 S Previous chemotherapy 4	Median (range)	69 (28–85)	69 (28–85)	67 (62–80)		
Male 12 11 1 Female 15 12 3 Site of primary malignary 9 8 1 Scomach 9 8 1 Colon 7 5 2 Ovary 3 3 0 Lung 2 2 0 Gallbladder 1 1 0 Bladder 1 1 0 Fresence of low 7 5 2 NS Presence of low metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of low metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of scites 16 13 3 NS Presence of scites 16 13 3 NS Presence of scites 16 13 3 NS Presence of scites 15 3 NS Presence of scites 16 15 3 NS Presence of scites 16 15 3 NS Presence of scites 16 1	Gender				NS	
Fendle IS I2 3 Site of primary malignary Stomach 9 8 1 Stomach 9 8 1 NS Colon 7 5 2 Ovary 3 3 0 Lung 2 2 0 Small bowel 1 1 0 Bladder 1 1 0 Presente 2 1 1 Bladder 1 1 0 Primary malignary colon 7 5 2 NS Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of liver metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of liver metastases 16 3 NS Presence of liver metastases 16 23 NS Presence of liver metastases 16 21 NS Presence of liver metastases 16 23 NS Presence of liver metastases 16 2 NS Medna (range) 4 2<	Male	12	11	I		
Site of primary malignancy NS Scomach 9 8 1 Colon 7 5 2 Ovary 3 3 0 Lung 2 2 0 Sallbladder 1 1 0 Smilbowel 1 1 0 Breast 2 1 1 Bladder 1 1 0 Thigh sarcoma 1 1 0 Primary malignancy colon 7 5 2 NS Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of distat peritoneal metastase 15 3 NS Presence of ascies 16 13 3 NS Presence of ascies 16 22 2 <0.05	Female	15	12	3		
Somach 9 8 1 Golon 7 5 2 Ovary 3 3 0 Lung 2 2 0 Gallbalder 1 1 0 Small bowel 1 1 0 Bidder 1 1 0 Present 2 1 1 Bidder 1 1 0 Primary malignancy colon 7 5 2 NS Presence of lung metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of lung metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of lung metastases 15 3 NS Presence of scites 16 13 3 NS Presence of lung metastases 15 3 NS Presence of lung metastases 15 3 NS Presence of lung metastases 16 13 NS Presence of lung metastases 15 3 NS Presence of lung metastases 16 2.0 0.0S </td <td colspan="6">Site of primary malignancy</td>	Site of primary malignancy					
Colon 7 5 2 Cvary 3 3 0 Lung 2 2 0 Galbladder 1 1 0 Small bowel 1 1 0 Breast 2 1 1 Biddder 1 1 0 Thigh sarcoma 1 1 0 Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of liver metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 15 3 NS APACHE II score NS NS NS Mean 5.6 5.4 6.5 0.05 Previous chemotherapy 18 15 3 NS ApACHE II score NS NS NS NS Previous chemotherapy 18 15 3 NS Soltaruction from T T NS NS Soltaruct	Stomach	9	8	I		
Ovary 3 3 0 Lung 2 2 0 Gallbladder 1 1 0 Breast 2 1 1 Bladder 1 1 0 Thigh sarcoma 1 1 0 Primary malignacy colon 7 5 2 NS Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of stant peritoneal metastases 2 19 4 NS Presence of stant peritoneal metastases 16 13 3 NS Presence of stant peritoneal metastases 6 (2-11) 6 (2-11) 5 (5-10) Previous chemotherapy NS Preoperative albumin > 21 g/L 24 22 2 < 0.05	Colon	7	5	2		
Lung 2 2 0 Gallbadder 1 0 Small bowel 1 1 0 Breast 2 1 1 Bidder 1 0 7 Bidder 1 0 7 Thigh sarcoma 1 0 7 Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 25 19 4 NS Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 25 19 4 NS Presence of acites 16 13 3 NS APACHE II score	Ovary	3	3	0		
Gallbadder 1 1 0 Small bowel 1 1 0 Brast 2 1 1 Bladder 1 1 0 Thigh sarcoma 1 1 0 Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of ling metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of distint peritoneal metastase 16 13 3 NS Presence of ascites 16 13 3 NS Presence of ascites 16 13 3 NS APACHE II score NS NS NS Mean 5.6 5.4 6.25-(0.05) NS Previous chemotherapy 18 15 3 NS Previous chemotherapy 4 4 0 NS Samil bowel only 2 2 0 NS Samil bowel only 18 16 2 2 0 Large bowel only 18 16 2 2 0 2<	Lung	2	2	0		
Small bowel I I I I Breast 2 I I I Bladder I I 0 Imary malignancy colon 7 5 2 NS Presence of liver metastases I 9 2 NS Presence of liver metastases II 9 2 NS Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 25 I9 4 NS Presence of actices I6 I3 3 NS APACHE II score	Gallbladder		I	0		
Dreast 2 1 1 Bladder 1 1 0 Thigh sarcoma 1 1 0 Primary malignancy colon 7 5 2 NS Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of liver metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of scites 16 13 3 NS APACHE II score NS Mean 5.6 5.4 6.5 Median (range) 6 (2-11) 6 (2-11) 5.5 (5-10) NS Previous chemotherapy 18 15 3 NS Previous radiotherapy 4 4 0 NS Benign vs. malignant cause of obstruction form NS NS NS Turour deposits 25 21 4 Adhesions only 2 0 Large bowel 9 7 2 NS S S Stoma howel only 18 16 2	Small bowel			0		
Distribution 1 1 0 Thigh sarcoma 1 1 0 Primary malignancy colon 7 5 2 NS Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of liver metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 25 19 4 NS Presence of acites 16 13 3 NS APACHE II score NS NS NS NS Mean 5.6 5.4 6.5 NS Previous chemotherapy 18 15 3 NS Previous radiotherapy 4 4 0 NS Benign vs. malignant cause of obstruction from NS NS NS Turnour deposits 25 21 4 Adhesions only 2 0 Small bowel only 18 16 2 NS Same adhesion only Same adhesion only Same adhesion only Same adhesiolysis	Breast Bladdor	2	1	0		
Image station i <	Thigh sarcoma	1	1	0		
Primary malignancy colon 7 5 2 NS Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of lung metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 16 13 3 NS Presence of ascites 16 13 3 NS APACHE II score NS 6 (2-11) 6 (2-11) 5.5 (5-10) Preoperative albumin > 21 g/L 24 22 2 <0.05		7	- -	0		
Presence of liver metastases 10 7 3 0.16 Presence of lung metastases 11 9 2 NS Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 25 19 4 NS Presence of ascites 16 13 3 NS APACHE II score NS NS NS Mean 5.6 5.4 6.5 Median (range) 6 (2–11) 6 (2–11) 5.5 (5–10) Previous chemotherapy 18 15 3 NS Previous chemotherapy 4 4 0 NS Benign vs. malignant cause of NS S S 0 obstruction from Z 2 0 Z Z Level of obstruction S S 16 2 Z Z Z Nature of palliative operation 6 4 12 Z	Primary malignancy colon	/	5	2	NS	
Presence of lung metastases I 9 2 NS Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 25 19 4 NS Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 25 19 4 NS Presence of distant peritoneal metastases 25 19 4 NS Presence of ascites 16 13 3 NS APACHE II score NS NS NS Mean 5.6 5.4 6.5 (-11) 5.5 (5-10) Preoperative albumin > 21 g/L 24 22 2 <0.05	Presence of liver metastases	10	7	3	0.16	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } \mbox{Presence of distant peritoneal metastase} & 25 & 19 & 4 & NS \\ \mbox{Presence of ascites} & 16 & 13 & 3 & NS \\ \mbox{Presence of ascites} & 16 & 13 & 3 & NS \\ \mbox{APACHE II score} & & & NS \\ \mbox{Mean} & 5.6 & 5.4 & 6.5 \\ \mbox{Median (range)} & 6 & (2-11) & 6 & (2-11) & 5.5 & (5-10) \\ \mbox{Preoperative albumin > 21 g/L & 24 & 22 & 2 & <0.05 \\ \mbox{Previous chemotherapy} & 18 & 15 & 3 & NS \\ \mbox{Previous chemotherapy} & 4 & 4 & 0 & NS \\ \mbox{Previous chemotherapy} & 4 & 4 & 0 & NS \\ \mbox{Benign vs. malignant cause of} & & & & & & NS \\ \mbox{obstruction from} & & & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Tumour deposits} & 25 & 21 & 4 & & & \\ \mbox{Adhesions only} & 2 & 2 & 0 & & & \\ \mbox{Small bowel only} & 18 & 16 & 2 & & & \\ \mbox{Small bowel only} & 18 & 16 & 2 & & & \\ \mbox{Small bowel only} & 18 & 16 & 2 & & & \\ \mbox{Small bowel only} & 18 & 16 & 2 & & & \\ \mbox{Resection} & 6 & 4 & 2 & & \\ \mbox{Resection} & 6 & 4 & 2 & & \\ \mbox{Resection} & 6 & 4 & 2 & & \\ \mbox{Resection} & 6 & 4 & 2 & & \\ \mbox{Resection/bypass} & 14 & 12 & 2 & 1 & & \\ \mbox{Adhesiolysis only} & 2 & 2 & 0 & & \\ \mbox{Stoma only} & 5 & 5 & 0 & & \\ \mbox{Stoma with resection/bypass} & 5 & 4 & 1 & & \\ \mbox{Length of postoperative hospital stay (days)} & & & & & & \\ \mbox{Median (range)} & 13 (12-41) & 15 (8-41) & 14 (2-25) & & & \\ \mbox{Mean} & 12 & (2.6 & 7^* & & & & \\ \mbox{Mean} & 12 & (1-30) & 12 (1-30) & 5 (1-17)^* & & \\ \end{tabular}$	Presence of lung metastases	11	9	2	NS	
Presence of ascites 16 13 3 NS APACHE II score NS Mean 5.6 5.4 6.5 Median (range) 6 (2-11) 6 (2-11) 5.5 (5-10) Preoperative albumin > 21 g/L 24 22 2 <0.05	Presence of distant peritoneal metastases	25	19	4	NS	
APACHE II score NS Mean 5.6 5.4 6.5 Median (range) 6 (2–11) 6 (2–11) 5.5 (5–10) Preoperative albumin > 21 g/L 24 22 2 <0.05	Presence of ascites	16	13	3	NS	
Man 5.6 5.4 6.5 Median (range) 6 (2-11) 6 (2-11) 5.5 (5-10) Preoperative albumin > 21 g/L 24 22 2 < 0.05				-	NIS	
Induit Bot Brit Bot Median (range) 6 (2-11) 5.5 (5-10) Preoperative albumin > 21 g/L 24 22 2 < 0.05	Mean	5.6	54	65	145	
Preoperative albumin > 21 g/L 24 22 2 2 0.05 Previous chemotherapy 18 15 3 NS Previous radiotherapy 4 4 0 NS Benign vs. malignant cause of obstruction from NS NS Tumour deposits 25 21 4 NS Adhesions only 2 2 0 NS Small bowel only 18 16 2 NS Small bowel only 18 16 2 NS Nature of palliative operation 6 4 2 1 Resection 6 4 2 1 1 Adhesiolysis only 2 2 0 1 1 Stoma only 5 5 0 5 0 1 1 Length of hospital stay (days) - - - - - - Mean 18 18.2 13.8 - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>Median (range)</td><td>6 (2-11)</td><td>6 (2–11)</td><td>5.5 (5-10)</td><td></td></t<>	Median (range)	6 (2-11)	6 (2–11)	5.5 (5-10)		
Previous chemotherapy 18 15 3 NS Previous radiotherapy 4 4 0 NS Benign vs. malignant cause of obstruction from NS NS NS Tumour deposits 25 21 4 NS Adhesions only 2 2 0 NS Level of obstruction NS NS NS Small bowel only 18 16 2 16 Large bowel 9 7 2 0 Nature of palliative operation 6 4 2 9 Resection 6 4 2 16 2 Bypass 14 12 2 ⁺ 4 4 16 2 16 16 2 16 </td <td>Preoperative albumin $> 21 \text{ g/l}$</td> <td>24</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>< 0.05</td>	Preoperative albumin $> 21 \text{ g/l}$	24	2	2	< 0.05	
Previous chemotherapy 4 15 5 NS Previous radiotherapy 4 4 0 NS Benign vs. malignant cause of obstruction from NS NS NS Tumour deposits 25 21 4 NS Adhesions only 2 2 0 NS Level of obstruction Image Structure NS NS Small bowel only 18 16 2 16 Large bowel 9 7 2 16 <td></td> <td>10</td> <td>15</td> <td>2</td> <td>NIC</td>		10	15	2	NIC	
Previous radiotherapy 4 4 0 NS Benign vs. malignant cause of obstruction from NS NS Tumour deposits 25 21 4 Adhesions only 2 2 0 Level of obstruction Small bowel only 18 16 2 Large bowel 9 7 2 0 Nature of palliative operation 6 4 2 Resection 6 4 2 Bypass 14 12 2 [†] Adhesiolysis only 2 2 0 Stoma only 5 5 0 Stoma with resection/bypass 5 4 1 Length of hospital stay (days) - - Mean 18 18.2 13.8 Median (range) 13 (12-41) 15 (8-41) 14 (2-25) Length of postoperative hospital - - stay (days) - - - Mean 12 (1-30) 12 (1-30) 5 (1-17)*		10	15	3	143	
Benign vs. malignant cause of obstruction from NS Tumour deposits 25 21 4 Adhesions only 2 2 0 Level of obstruction NS NS Small bowel only 18 16 2 Large bowel 9 7 2 Nature of palliative operation NS NS Resection 6 4 2 Bypass 14 12 2 ⁺ Adhesiolysis only 2 2 0 Stoma only 5 5 0 Stoma only 5 4 1 Length of hospital stay (days) - - Mean 18 18.2 13.8 Median (range) 13 (12–41) 15 (8–41) 14 (2–25) Length of postoperative hospital - - stay (days) - - Mean 12 (1–30) 12 (1–30) 5 (1–17)*	Previous radiotherapy	4	4	0	NS	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Benign vs. malignant cause of obstruction from				NS	
Adhesions only 2 2 0 Level of obstruction NS Small bowel only 18 16 2 Large bowel 9 7 2 Nature of palliative operation 6 4 2 Resection 6 4 2 Bypass 14 12 2 † Adhesiolysis only 2 2 0 Stoma only 5 5 0 Stoma only 5 4 1 Length of hospital stay (days) - - Mean (range) 13 (12–41) 15 (8–41) 14 (2–25) Length of postoperative hospital - - stay (days) - - Mean (range) 13 (12–41) 15 (8–41) 14 (2–25) Length of postoperative hospital - - stay (days) - - Mean (range) 12 (1–30) 12 (1–30) 5 (1–17)*	Tumour deposits	25	21	4		
Level of obstruction NS Small bowel only 18 16 2 Large bowel 9 7 2 Nature of palliative operation 6 4 2 Resection 6 4 2 Bypass 14 12 2 [†] Adhesiolysis only 2 2 0 Stoma only 5 5 0 Stoma with resection/bypass 5 4 1 Length of hospital stay (days)	Adhesions only	2	2	0		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Level of obstruction				NS	
Large bowel 9 7 2 Nature of palliative operation 6 4 2 Resection 6 4 2 Bypass 14 12 2 † Adhesiolysis only 2 2 0 Stoma only 5 5 0 Stoma with resection/bypass 5 4 1 Length of hospital stay (days) - - Mean 18 18.2 13.8 Median (range) 13 (12-41) 15 (8-41) 14 (2-25) Length of postoperative hospital - - stay (days) - - Mean 12 12.6 7* Median (range) 12 (1-30) 12 (1-30) 5 (1-17)*	Small bowel only	18	16	2		
Nature of palliative operation NS Resection 6 4 2 Bypass 14 12 2 † Adhesiolysis only 2 0 5 Stoma only 5 5 0 Stoma with resection/bypass 5 4 1 Length of hospital stay (days) - - - Mean 18 18.2 13.8 - Median (range) 13 (12-41) 15 (8-41) 14 (2-25) - Length of postoperative hospital - - - - stay (days) - 12.6 7* - Median (range) 12 (1-30) 12 (1-30) 5 (1-17)* -	Large bowel	9	7	2		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nature of palliative operation					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Resection	6	4	2		
Adhesiolysis only 2 2 0 Stoma only 5 5 0 Stoma with resection/bypass 5 4 1 Length of hospital stay (days) - - Mean 18 18.2 13.8 Median (range) 13 (12-41) 15 (8-41) 14 (2-25) Length of postoperative hospital - - stay (days) - - Mean 12 12.6 7* Median (range) 12 (1-30) 12 (1-30) 5 (1-17)*	Bypass	14	12	2 [†]		
Stoma only 5 5 0 Stoma with resection/bypass 5 4 1 Length of hospital stay (days) - - Mean 18 18.2 13.8 Median (range) 13 (12-41) 15 (8-41) 14 (2-25) Length of postoperative hospital - - stay (days) - - Mean 12 12.6 7* Median (range) 12 (1-30) 12 (1-30) 5 (1-17)*	Adhesiolysis only	2	2	0		
Stoma with resection/bypass 5 4 I Length of hospital stay (days) – – Mean I8 I8.2 I3.8 Median (range) I3 (12–41) I5 (8–41) I4 (2–25) Length of postoperative hospital – – stay (days) – – Mean I2 I2.6 7* Median (range) I2 (1–30) I2 (1–30) 5 (1–17)*	Stoma only	5	5	0		
Length of hospital stay (days) – Mean 18 18.2 13.8 Median (range) 13 (12–41) 15 (8–41) 14 (2–25) Length of postoperative hospital – – stay (days) – – Mean 12 12.6 7* Median (range) 12 (1–30) 12 (1–30) 5 (1–17)*	Stoma with resection/bypass	5	4	I		
Mean 18 18.2 13.8 Median (range) 13 (12-41) 15 (8-41) 14 (2-25) Length of postoperative hospital - - stay (days) - - Mean (range) 12 (1-30) 12 (1-30) 5 (1-17)*	Length of hospital stay (days)				_	
Median (range) I3 (12-41) I5 (8-41) I4 (2-25) Length of postoperative hospital stay (days) Mean - - Median (range) I2 (1-30) I2 (1-30) 5 (1-17)*	Mean	18	18.2	13.8		
Length of postoperative hospital – stay (days) – Mean 12 12.6 7* Median (range) 12 (1–30) 12 (1–30) 5 (1–17)*	Median (range)	3 (2-4)	15 (8–41)	14 (2–25)		
stay (days) I2 I2.6 7* Median (range) I2 (I–30) I2 (I–30) 5 (I–17)*	Length of postoperative hospital				_	
Mean I2 I2.6 7* Median (range) I2 (I-30) I2 (I-30) 5 (I-17)*	stay (days)					
Median (range) 12 (1–30) 12 (1–30) 5 (1–17)*	Mean	12	12.6	7*		
	Median (range)	12 (1–30)	12 (1–30)	5 (1–17)*		

Table II. Comparison of patient characteristics at the time of operation.

* Mortality occurred

 $^{\dagger}\,\text{includes}$ one patient who had ileostomy in addition to bypass

NS: not significant

albumin > 21 g/L, primary malignancy of colon, liver metastases, lung metastases, peritoneal metastases, ascites, previous chemotherapy, previous radiotherapy, benign vs. malignant cause of obstruction, level of obstruction); and analysis of variance was used for multiple categorical variables (site of primary malignancy, nature of palliative operation).

RESULTS

The patient characteristics are summarised in Table I. 27 palliative operations for intestinal obstruction for metastatic malignancy were performed during this period. This included two patients who were re-operated on for recurrence of intestinal obstruction. One of these patients died within 30 days of the second operation

which was performed eight months after the first operation. The patient had a much lower albumin at the time of the second operation. One other patient in this series had a recurrence of intestinal obstruction 46 days later that was successfully managed conservatively. 18 patients had obstruction at the level of the small bowel and nine had colonic obstruction. Patients who had both small and large bowel involvement in peritoneal carcinomatosis were classified by their most proximal transition zone found intraoperatively. One patient who had two separate loops of bowel involved (one small bowel loop as well as part of the sigmoid loop adherent to the pelvic tumour), was classified as small bowel obstruction for the purpose of analysis.

All patients had radiological evidence of intestinal obstruction preoperatively (data from radiologists' reports). A radiological transition point was seen in all but one of the preoperative radiographs. For this patient, a small bowel contrast series showed a transition point. All radiographs were done within a time frame of three days prior to the operation. Preoperative computed tomography was available for 20 cases. Four scans did not show intestinal obstruction at the time they were performed, which was more than 20 days prior to surgery. This was in keeping with the natural history of intestinal obstruction in advanced malignancy; there may have been scans ordered for non-specific abdominal symptoms and/or functional symptoms before intestinal obstruction occurred.

All patients who survived were discharged from hospital without requiring parenteral nutrition or hydration, and were able to tolerate oral medication and feeds, according to discharge summaries, although the volume of feeds tolerated was variable and not always recorded. In this small series, presence of metastases, presence of ascites, APACHE II score and gender were not predictive of mortality (Table II). Only the preoperative albumin levels ≤ 21 g/L was predictive of mortality (p < 0.05). In this series, a total of ten patients required a stoma (alone or in addition to resection or bypass). Five patients had a stoma only (three ileostomies, two caecostomies and one colostomy). Five patients had a stoma in addition to resection or bypass (two had bypass and ileostomy, one had bypass and sigmoid mucocutaneous fistula and two had resection and ileostomy).

DISCUSSION

Surgery does have a role in the palliation of symptoms of intestinal obstruction in carefully-selected patients with advanced abdominal and pelvic malignancy. Our series had a perioperative mortality rate (defined as 30-day mortality inhospital) of 20%, comparable to reported perioperative mortality rates in other publications, ranging from 0% (for a series with colorectal primaries only) to 50%, although most reported mortality rates are in the range of 20%-30%.^(17, 22-25) In this small series, where only four patients died within 30 days, there was no increased mortality found for the factors that have been associated with poor outcome in other publications, including APACHE II score, ascites, colon primary site, small bowel obstruction, nutritional status and age.(19,20,24-29) Although this could be due to the relatively low numbers in this series, it could also be due to careful patient selection based on the abovementioned factors, and the multifactorial patient outcome. Preoperative radiotherapy to the abdominal or pelvic cavity in this small series of cases with primary malignancy in the stomach did not worsen outcome, and none of the three patients who had received radiotherapy died within 30 days of surgery.

Indications and contraindications to palliative obstruction surgery for intestinal remain controversial.^(1,4,11,15,18,19,30-32) although many authors have rightly emphasised that patient choice is paramount. Low preoperative albumin was significant in this analysis. Albumin is known to be an independent predictor of survival for surgical patients in general.⁽³³⁾ Albumin could be both an indicator of disease progression as well as a predictive factor for mortality, and would certainly affect wound-healing and perioperative fluid management. This is in keeping with the Krebs and Goplerud criteria of poor nutritional status as a contraindication to surgery.⁽¹⁹⁾ In this series, age was not a predictive factor of mortality. Contrary to a previous series,⁽¹⁷⁾ resection was not superior to bypass when it came to assessing the 30-day mortality (even when analysis was performed after excluding the two cases of adhesiolysis only), suggesting that careful overall patient selection might be more important than any one factor. In addition to perioperative mortality, many of these patients will require a stoma, and patients undergoing surgical palliation for intestinal obstruction should be counselled preoperatively, where possible. Morbidity from enterocutaneous fistulae is a known surgical complication.^(3,8) In our series, one of the patients who died within 30 days of surgery suffered a burst abdomen shortly before death.

As mentioned in the Cochrane review of other publications,⁽⁴⁾ this study had several limitations. As this was a case series of surgically-managed cases only, there was no comparator group for those who were successfully treated by parenteral hydration and pharmacological means,⁽³⁴⁻³⁷⁾ so as to predict which patients would benefit from surgery. All patients in this series had an obvious transition point at surgery, and almost all had a radiological transition point of obstruction. Secondly, as this was a retrospective series, definitions of intestinal obstruction, as well as the decision to proceed to surgery had been at the discretion of the primary team, so there were no uniform criteria or definitions of intestinal obstruction. Lastly, none of the outcome measures included quality-of-life measures, an important aspect in decision-making at the end of life.

Unfortunately, the Eastern Co-operative Oncology Group score was only available for ten patients. This would have been useful in stratifying patients, and should definitely be collected in a prospective study. A prospective study comparing both medically-managed and surgically-managed intestinal obstructions in advanced abdominal malignancy, and examining the disease and patient factors that predict positive outcome and failure of palliation, would be useful in clinical decision-making for patients with advanced malignancy and intestinal obstruction. We would also attempt to quantify peritoneal disease in a future study and assess how this affects decision-making with regard to surgical palliation.(38) This small retrospective series would assist us in designing a prospective trial that would answer some of the unanswered questions. However, as discussed in some recent review articles, ethical issues in end-of-life decisions make study design challenging in the case of malignant bowel obstruction, although these obstacles are not insurmountable.(39,40)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Stephanie Fook Chong for her advice on statistical analysis.

REFERENCES

- Baines M, Oliver DJ, Carter RL. Medical management of intestinal obstruction in patients with advanced malignant disease. A clinical and pathological study. Lancet 1985; 2:990-3.
- Fainsinger RL, Spachynski K, Hanson J, Bruera E. Symptom control in terminally ill patients with malignant bowel obstruction (MBO). J Pain Symptom Manage 1994; 9:12-8.
- Soo KC, Davidson T, Parker M, Paterson I, Paterson A. Intestinal obstruction in patients with gynaecological malignancies. Ann Acad Med Singapore 1988; 17:72-5.
- Feuer DJ, Broadley KE, Shepherd JH, Barton DP. Surgery for the resolution of symptoms in malignant bowel obstruction in advanced gynaecological and gastrointestinal cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000: CD002764.
- Ripamonti C, De Conno F, Ventafridda V, Rossi B, Baines MJ. Management of bowel obstruction in advanced and terminal cancer patients. Ann Oncol 1993; 4:15-21.
- Rose PG, Piver MS, Tsukada Y, Lau T. Metastatic patterns in histologic variants of ovarian cancer. An autopsy study. Cancer

1978; 64:1508-13.

- Dvoretsky PM, Richards KA, Angel C, et al. Survival time, causes of death, and tumor/treatment-related morbidity in 100 women with ovarian cancer. Hum Pathol 1988; 19:1273-9.
- Dvoretsky PM, Richards KA, Angel C, et al. Distribution of disease at autopsy in 100 women with ovarian cancer. Hum Pathol 1988; 19:57-63.
- Baines M. The pathophysiology and management of malignant intestinal obstruction. In: Doyle D, Hanks GWC, MacDonald N, eds. Oxford Textbook of Palliative Medicine. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998: 526-34.
- Fainsinger RL. Integrating medical and surgical treatments in gastrointestinal, genitourinary, and biliary obstruction in patients with cancer. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 1996; 10:173-88.
- Ripamonti C, Twycross R, Baines M, et al. Clinical practice recommendations for the management of bowel obstruction in patients with end-stage cancer. Supportive Care Cancer 2001; 9:223-33.
- Bhardwaj R, Parker MC. Palliative therapy of colorectal carcinoma: stent or surgery? Colorectal Dis 2003; 5:518-21.
- Law WL, Choi HK, Lee YM, Chu KW. Palliation for advanced malignant colorectal obstruction by self-expanding metallic stents: prospective evaluation of outcomes. Dis Colon Rectum 2004; 47:39-43.
- 14. Bryan DN, Radbod R, Berek JS. An analysis of surgical versus chemotherapeutic intervention for the management of intestinal obstruction in advanced ovarian cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2006; 16:125-34.
- Rousseau P. Management of malignant bowel obstruction in advanced cancer: a brief review. J Palliat Med 1998; 1:65-72.
- Butler JA, Cameron BL, Morrow M, Kahng K, Tom J. Small bowel obstruction in patients with a prior history of cancer. Am J Surg 1991; 162:624-8.
- Legendre H, Vanhuyse F, Caroli-Bosc FX, Pector JC. Survival and quality of life after palliative surgery for neoplastic gastrointestinal obstruction. Eur J Surg Oncol 2001; 27:364-7.
- Baines MJ. ABC of palliative care. Nausea, vomiting, and intestinal obstruction. BMJ 1997; 315:1148-50.
- Krebs HB, Goplerud DR. Surgical management of bowel obstruction in advanced ovarian carcinoma. Obstet Gynecol 1983; 61:327-30.
- Bais JMJ, Schilthuis MS, Ansink AC. Palliative management of intestinal obstruction in patients with advanced gynaecological cancer. CME J Gynecol Oncol 2002; 7:299-305.
- Miner TJ, Jaques DP, Shriver CD. A prospective evaluation of patients undergoing surgery for palliation of an advanced malignancy. Ann Surg Oncol 2002; 9:696-703.
- 22. Higashi H, Shida H, Ban K, et al. Factors affecting successful palliative surgery for malignant bowel obstruction due to peritoneal dissemination from colorectal cancer. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2003; 33:357-9.
- Pothuri B, Vaidya A, Aghajanian C, et al. Palliative surgery for bowel obstruction in recurrent ovarian cancer: an updated series. Gynecol Oncol 2003; 89:306-13.
- Catena F, Gazzotti F, Ansaloni L, et al. Emergency surgery for recurrent intraabdominal cancer. World J Surg Oncol 2004; 2:23.
- 25. Blair SL, Chu DZ, Schwarz RE. Outcome of palliative operations for malignant bowel obstruction in patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis from nongynecological cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 2001; 8:632-7.
- 26. Lau PW, Lorentz TG. Results of surgery for malignant bowel obstruction in advanced, unresectable, recurrent colorectal cancer. Dis Colon Rectum 1993; 36:61-4.
- Gallick HL, Weaver DW, Sachs RJ, Bouwman DL. Intestinal obstruction in cancer patients. An assessment of risk factors and outcome. Am Surg 1986; 52:434-7.

- Fernandes JR, Seymour RJ, Suissa S. Bowel obstruction in patients with ovarian cancer: a search for prognostic factors. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1988; 158:244-9.
- 29. Gadducci A, Iacconi P, Fanucchi A, et al. Survival after intestinal obstruction in patients with fatal ovarian cancer: Analysis of prognostic variables. Int J Gynecol Cancer 1998; 8:177-82.
- Aabo K, Pedersen H, Bach F, Knudsen J. Surgical management of intestinal obstruction in the late course of malignant disease. Acta Chir Scand 1984; 150:173-6.
- Fernandes JR, Seymour RJ, Suissa S. Bowel obstruction in patients with ovarian cancer: a search for prognostic factors. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1988; 158:244-9.
- Weiss SM, Skibber JM, Rosato FE. Bowel obstruction in cancer patients: performance status as a predictor of survival. J Surg Oncol 1984; 25:15-7.
- 33. Gibbs J, Cull W, Henderson W, et al. Preoperative serum albumin level as a predictor of operative mortality and morbidity: results from the National VA Surgical Risk Study. Arch Surg 1999; 134:36-42.
- Feuer DJ, Broadley KE. Corticosteroids for the resolution of malignant bowel obstruction in advanced gynaecological and gastrointestinal cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2000: CD001219.

- 35. Ripamonti C, Mercadante S, Groff L, et al. Role of octreotide, scopolamine butylbromide, and hydration in symptom control of patients with inoperable bowel obstruction and nasogastric tubes: a prospective randomized trial. J Pain Symptom Manage 2000; 19:23-34.
- 36. Mercadante S, Ripamonti C, Casuccio A, Zecca E, Groff L. Comparison of octreotide and hyoscine butylbromide in controlling gastrointestinal symptoms due to malignant inoperable bowel obstruction. Support Care Cancer 2000; 8:188-91.
- Ripamonti C, Mercadante S. How to use octreotide for malignant bowel obstruction. J Support Oncol 2004; 2:357-64.
- 38. Sugarbaker PH. Management of peritoneal surface malignancy using intraperitoneal chemotherapy and cytoreductive surgery. A manual for physicians and nurses. 3rd ed. 1998. In: Surgicaloncology [online]. Available at: www.surgicaloncology. com/gpmindic.htm. Accessed September 15, 2009.
- Laneader A, Angelos P, Ferrell BR, et al. Ethical issues in research to improve the management of malignant bowel obstruction: challenges and recommendations. J Pain Symptom Manage 2007; 34:S20-7.
- 40. Krouse RS. The international conference on malignant bowel obstruction: a meeting of the minds to advance palliative care research. J Pain Symptom Manage 2007; 34:S1-6.

